

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Anthony Byrd

Petitioner

!

vs.
! Case No. 96-5-SLR
!

United States Of America, Ex. Rel., ! FILED

Jonathan C. Miner, Warden

Allenwood Federal Prison Camp
! MAY 2 9 2001

Montgomery, Pennsylvania
!

Respondent
! PER PRINCEPTE

# PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

Petitioner, Anthony Byrd, appearing pro se, under the authority of 28 U.S.C. 2241c and FRCP 81 a(2), respectfully, states as follows:

- 1. Petitioner is restrained of liberty at Allenwood Federal Prison Camp, Montgomery, Pennsylvania.
- 2. Petitioner is unlawfully imprisoned, restrained of liberty, and in the custody of respondent, Jonathan C. Miner, Warden of Allenwood Federal Prison Camp, Montgomery, Pennsylvania, in violation of the laws and Constitution of the United States.
- 3. Respondent has customdy of petitioner by virtue of the judgment and sentence of the United States District Court for the District Of Delaware, United States v. Byrd, 96-5-SLR. Copies of the judgment and sentencing are attached hereto and made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "A" and "B" respectively. Petitioner was charged in a two count indictment with (1) possession with the intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base and, (2) conspiracy to distribute cocaine and cocaine base. Petitioner was later charged in a restated five count superseding indictment with possession with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base (Count One), conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base (Count Two), possession of a firearm by a prohibited person (Counts Three and Four),

and possession of a firearm during the commission of a felony (Count Five). Petitioner was charged in Counts One, Two, Three and FIve. Petitioner entered a plea of guilty to Count One [possession with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base], in violation of 21 U.S.C. SS 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(a), punishment was set at 135 months imprisonment, to be followed by a 60 month term of supervised release. The remaining counts were dismissed on motion of the government. Petitioner was sentenced on May 13, 1998, and judgment was entered on May 15, 1998. A copy of the restated-superseding indictment is attached hereto and made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "C".

- 4. Petitioner appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, which affirmed the judgment and conviction on December 22, 1998, United States v. Byrd, 98-7294 (3rd Cir. Dec. 22, 1998).
- 5. Petitioner has exhausted all available remedies under 28 U.S.C. 2255, and is therefore entitled to seek Habeas corpus relief in this court.
- 6. Petitioner is unlawfully imprisoned, and restrained of liberty, and in the unlawful custody of Jonathan C. Miner, Warden, Allenwood Federal Prison Camp, Montgomery, Pennsylvania, in that prosecution, conviction and subsequent imprisonment of the petitioner under Count One of the restated-superseding indictment was jurisdictionally defective, and as such, the sentencing court, therefore, lacked subject matter jurisdiction. The Petitioner has served in excess of 60 months of the sentence imposed; said sentence, absent the unlawful enhancement (drug quantity), should not have exceeded 60 months, and would not have approached the 135 months of imprisonment imposed by the court.

# BRIEF HISTORY

- 7. On January 15, 1996, Petitioner was arrested by the Delaware State Police, and shortly thereafter, handed over to federal authorities.
- 8. On January 23, 1996, the Grand Jury for the United States District Court, District of Delaware, returned a two-count indictment, charging Petitioner with possession with intent to districbute cocaine and cocaine base (Count One), and Conspiracy to distribute cocaine and cocaine base (Count Two). On February 27, 1996, the Grand Jury returned a five count restated superseding indictment, charging Petitioner with possession with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base (Count One), conspiracy to distribute cocaine and cocaine base (Count Two), possession of a firearm by a prohibited person (Counts Three and Four), and possession of a firearm during the commission of a felony (Count Five). Petitioner was charged in Counts One, Two, Four and Five.
- 9. On August 8, 1996, Petitioner pursuant to a Plea Agreement, pled guilty to Count One of the Indictment. A copy of the guilty plea agreement is attached

hereto and made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "D". All remaining counts of the indictment were dismissed under the terms of the plea agreement. The trial court judge accepted petitioner's guilty plea and entered a judgment of guilty on the plea. A copy of the guilty plea hearing is attached hereto and made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "E".

- 10. On or about November 1997, petitioner, pro se, filed a motion to withdraw the guilty plea. The Memorandum & Order of the trial court, denying the motion is attached hereto and made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "F".
- 11. The trial court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, in a substantail upward enhancement, sentenced petitioner to 135 months imprisonment. Petitioner offers that his conviction on Count One and the sentence imposed was jurisdictinally defective, because the enhanced sentence was arrived at by using a drug quantity not alleged in the indictment. Under the current illegal sentence, the sentencing range is from 108 to 135 months. Petitioner contends that, but for the enhanced penalty, his confinement could not and would not have exceeded 60 months. Under the facts of this case, the Petitioner is being illegally detained as evidenced by the above-referenced history.

#### BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR HABEAS CORPUS

A. Plea Agreement Does Not FOreclose Petitioner's Challenge To Jurisdiction Of Sentencing Court.

的是我们就是我们的人,也是是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,我们也是我们的人,

A plea of guilty constitutes an admission of all material facts well pleaded in the indictment. Semet v. United States, 422 F.2d 1269, 1272 (10th Cir. 1970). It does not waive a defendent's right to indictment by a grand jury. United States v. Meacham, 626 F.2d 503, 509-510 (5th Cir. 1980); a guilty plea is not, an of essential elements not stated in the indictment. See United States v. Edrington, 726 F.2d 1029, 1031 (5th CIr. 1984) (guilty plea does not waive jurisdictional defects, such as failure of indictment to allege all essential elements of offense); see also United States v. Prentiss, 206 F.3d 960, 976-77 (10th Cir. 2000) (stipulating at trial to the element missing from the indictment did not waive right to grand jury indictment because "the failure of the indictment to allege a federal crime cannot be cured by proof at trial by any means"); United States v. Spinner, 180 F.3d 514, 516 (3rd Cir. 1999); see also United States v. Cabrera-Teran, 168 F.3d 141, 143 (5th Cir. 1999) ([A] "failure of the indictment to charge an offense may be treated as [a] jurisdictional" defect, ... and an appellate court must notice such a flaw even if the issue was raised neither in the district court or on appeal." See also Fed. R. Crim. P. 12(b)(2)(objection that an

indictment "fails to show jurisdiction in the court or to charge an offense... shall be noticed by the court at any time during the pendnecy of the proceeding") (emphasis added.)

The second of th

In this matter the indictment was drawn in such a manner, which cannot support an enhanced penalty under 21 U.S.C. 841(b)(1)(A). Here, the indictment was silent, as to drug amounts, simply referring to a violation of 21 U.S.C. 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A). See <u>Jones v. United States</u>, 526 U.S. 227, 243 n.6, 119 S. Ct. 1215 (1999) (enhancement elements must be "charged" in an indictment"); see also <u>United States v. Crockett</u>, 812 F.2d 629 (10th Cir. 1987)(holding that drug quantity constituted an element of drug trafficking offense under 21 U.S.C. 841). Under <u>Crockett</u>, a defendant who pleads guilty to trafficking to an unspecified quantity of illegal drugs must, absent a personal admission, be sentenced as a misdemeanant. See also <u>United States v. Hordby</u>, 225 F.3d 1053 (9th Cir. 2000), holding that <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 120 S. Ct. 2348 (2000) renders a finding of drug quantity under 21 U.S.C. 841(b)(1) error under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment and the notice and jury trial guarantees of the Sixth Amendment, when that finding is made by that court at sentencing under a proponderance-of-the-evidence standard.

Here, with regard to petitioner's jurisdictional claim, the failure of the government to properly plead its indictment prevented the court from obtaining jurisdiction over either the defendant or the subject matter of his prosecution, as such, no subsequent action or proceeding of the district court could confer that jurisdiction. As a result, the petitioner's enhanced sentence in a case not of jurisdiction is unlawful.

juga garang janggan dan 🕻

والإعتماء فتمر بيوي كالمبيلهي والغازون بالما

<sup>1.</sup> Rule 12(b)(2)'s non-waiver language should be read to include challenges that an indictment fails to charge the offense of conviction, rather than narrowly read as including only challenges that an indictment fails to state any federal offense. Here, arguably, the indictment charged a federal offense of some type, albeit a misdemeanor. However, it does not charge the offense of conviction, and as such, the non-waiver language of 12(b)(2) applies.

(1) Petitioner's Challenge To Legality Of Sentence
Imposed Does Not Breach Plea Agreement

A defendant's challenge to his guilty plea conviction on the ground that his acts were, in light of new precedent, not a crime does not amount to a breach of his plea agreement, unless the agreement explicitly bargained away the right to bring such a challenge. United States v. Pruitt, 32 F.3d 431, 433 (9th Cir. 1994). Here, no such waiver was agreed to between the parties. The plea agreement left the petitioner free to file a section 2255 motion at any time he perceived there to be a problem with his plea, his conviction, or his sentence. See Pruitt, 32 F.3d at 435. As a result, the prosecution bore the risk that a change in the relevant substantive low would afford the defendant the right to be released. See United States v. Barnhardt, 93 F.3d 706,708 (10th Cir. 1996) ("[A] plea of guilty does not bar a claim that the defendant's conviction is unconstitutional no matter how validly his factual guilt is established if the facts he pleaded guilty to are subsequently determined not to be criminal." (Quoting Menna v. New Yorkm 423 U.S. 61, 63 n.2 (1975)). Moreover, at the time of the plea, there was no reason to believe that a change in the law regarding drug quantities, as being an essential element of a violation under 21 U.S.C. 841 might occur in the near future.

Here, petitioner challenges only that portion of the plea agreement made, unconstitutional by Apprendi, asserting that while the plea bargain was knowing, voluntary, and in all other aspects proper when made and accepted by the court, the conduct to which petitioner pled guilty - the only conduct for which Petitioner was convicted and sentenced - it is now insufficient as a matter of law to support his sentence. Accordingly, petitioner contends that absent an allegation of drug quantity in the indictment, or a personal admission by petitioner, as a matter of law the sentencing court must use for sentencing purposes, the lowest quantity considered by the sentencing guidelines or statute of the substance at issue.

#### B. SENTENCING AND TERM OF IMPRISONMENT

(1) Petitioner's Sentence Was Improper Under The Sentencing Guidelins
Petitioner was sentenced to a period of incarceration of 135 months based
on the following guideline sentence calculation:

Base Offense Level	32
2D1.1(b)(1) weapon enhancement	+ 2
3E1.1(b) acceptance/responsiblity	<u>- 2</u>
Adjusted offense level	32
Criminal History Category II	
Guidelines Sentencing Range 135 to	168 months

Petitioner would urge that the sentence as calculated is not proper. More specifically, petitioner contends that the increase in his offense level to 32, based upon a drug quantity not found by the grand jury and alleged in the indictment was improper. To be sure, the quantity of drugs is a critical element of the offense charged under 841 (a)(1), and without an allegation as to quantity in the indictment, the trial court could not impose more than a five-year sentence. Petitioner has now served in excess of the legally authorized sentence and, is now serving an illegal sentence.

Accordingly, petitioner's sentence exceeds the maximum authorized by law and here, petitioner has served the maximum authorized sentence. Therefore, the judgment must be treated as void to the extent that it exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by law, and petitioner discharged from his unlawful imprisonment.

Wherefore, Petitioner requests this court to issue a Writ Of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum commanding respondent to produce the body of Petitioner before this court, at a time and place to be specified by this court, so that this court may further inquire into the lawfulness of Respondent's custody of petitioner, to discharge petitioner from Respondent's custody; and to grant Petitioner such other and further relief to which Petitioner may be entitled in this proceeding.

DATED THIS \$21 DAY OF MAY, 2001.

Respectfully Submitted,

anthony ByRD

AO 245B (Rev. 3/95) Sheet 1 - Judgment in a Criminal Case

140-34 182nd Street Springfield Gardens

Queens

NY

11413

# United States District Court

# District of Delaware

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

5/15/98

Date

**v**.

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Anthony Byro	ì		Case Number: 1:96CI	R00005-001	
			John Sullivan, Esq.		
THE DEFENDANT:			Defendant's Attorney		
pleaded guilty to count(s) 1 of t	he Supers	seding Indictm	ent		
pleaded nolo contendere to coun which was accepted by the court.	nt(s)				
was found guilty on count(s) after a plea of not guilty.					
Title & Section	Natu	re of Offens	<u>se</u>	Date Offense Concluded	Count <u>Number(s)</u>
21 U.S.C. § 841 (a)(1)		ession with into ne base (crack	ent to distribute cocaine &	01/15/1996	1
·					
The defendant is contained as n	ravidad ir	nagan 2 thro	uah 6 of this judament	The centeres is	inanco d nurouant
The defendant is sentenced as p to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984	•	r pages 2 tillo	ugn 6 or this judgmen	The sentence is	imposed pursuant
The defendant has been found n	ot guilty	on count(s)			
Count(s) II, III&V of Superseding	g Indict •	<u>&amp;</u>	are dismissed on the motio	n of the United Sta	tes.
Indictment IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any change of name, residence, or ma judgment are fully paid.	the defer ailing add	ndant shall nol ress until all fi	ify the United States Attorn nes, restitution, costs, and	ey for this district v special assessmer	vithin 30 days of its imposed by this
Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.: 085-64-5470			05/13/1998		
Defendant's Date of Birth: 07/16/1976	_		Date of Imposition of Judgment		<u> </u>
Defendant's USM No.: 03760-015					- e e
Defendant's Residence Address:			·		
140-34 182nd Street			fue I do	frem	
Springfield Gardens			Signature of Judicial Officer		
Queens	NY	11413			
	·		The Honorable Sue L.	Robinson	-
Defendant's Mailing Address;			Name & Title of Judicial Officer		

Deputy U.S. Marshal

AC 245B (Rev. 3/95) Sheet 3 - Supervised Release

Judgment-Page	3	of	6

DEFENDANT:

Anthony Byrd

CASE NUMBER:

1:96CR00005-001

### SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of \_\_\_\_\_60 \_\_\_month(s)

#### See Additional Supervised Release Terms - Sheet 3.01

The defendant shall report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.

The defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994:

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as directed by the probation officer.

The above drug testing condition is suspended based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse. (Check, if applicable.)

X

The defendant shall not possess a firearm as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921. (Check, if applicable.)

If this judgment imposes a fine or a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such fine or restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release in accordance with the Schedule of Payments set forth in the Criminal Monetary Penalties sheet of this judgment.

The defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth below). The defendant shall also comply with the additional conditions on the attached page (if indicated below).

### STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;

the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;

3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer.

4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;

the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, other acceptable reasons;

6) the defendant shall notify the probation officer ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;

7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol;

- the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;

12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court;

13) as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

AO 245B (Rev. 3/95) Sheet 3 - Supervised Release

DEFENDANT:

Anthony Byrd

CASE NUMBER:

1:96CR00005-001

# ADDITIONAL SUPERVISED RELEASE TERMS

- 1. The defendant shall provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information.
- 2. The defendant shall participate in a drug aftercare treatment program plan which may include urine testing at the direction and discretion of the probation officer.
- 3. At the direction and discretion of the probation office, if unemployed, the defendant shall participate in a vocational or educational training program.

Case 1:	01-cv-00956-SHR-KH	Document 1	Filed 05/29/20	01 Page 12 of 3	9
AC 245B (Rev. 3/95) Sheet 5	5, Part A - Criminal Monetary Penalties		er i vijerije i postavi i vijeta i se i s		
				Judgment-Pag	e 4 of 6
DEFENDANT:	Anthony Byrd				
CASE NUMBER:	1:96CR00005-001				
	CRIMIN	AL MONETA	RY PENALTI	ES	
The defendant forth on Sheet 5, Pa	shall pay the following total				of payments set
10111 011 011001 0, 1 0		sessment	<u>Fin</u>	<u>e</u> Rest	itution
Totals:	\$	50.00	\$	- \$	
□ If annlicable in	estitution amount ordered pu	irculant to plea and	eement	•	
ii applicable, ii	estitution amount ordered po	irsuarit to piea agr	eement	··· \$	<del></del> .
				·	-
					•
	•				
	·				
		FINE	•		
The above fine inclu	ides costs of incarceration a	and/or supervision	in the amount of \$	·	•
after the date of jud	shall pay interest on any fine gment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C and delinquency pursuant t	C. § 3612(f). All of t	the payment options	is paid in full before the on Sheet 5, Part B ma	e fifteenth day ay be subject to
The court dete	ermined that the defendant o	loes not have the a	ability to pay interest	and it is ordered that:	÷ .
L	est requirement is waived.		,,,,		·
	est requirement is modified a	ae followe:			
	est requirement is mounted to				
en e					
				•	
		RESTITU	TION		
The determination offenses com will be entered	ation of restitution is deferred mitted on or after 09/13/199 d after such determination.	d in a case brough 4, until	t under Chapters 10 . An Amended Ju	9A, 110, 110A and 113 udgment in a Criminal	3A of Title 18 for Case
	•				
		•			
The defendan	t shall make restitution to the	e following payees	in the amounts liste	d below.	
If the defendar specified otherwise	nt makes a partial payment, in the priority order or perce	each payee shall r entage payment co	eceive an approximation de la comme de la	ately proportional payr	Priority Order
Name of Payee			** Total Amount of Loss	Amount of Restitution Ordered	or Percentage of <u>Payment</u>
•			•		

\*\* Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses

Totals:

The defendant shall pay the cost of prosecution.

The defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States:

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments are to be made to the United States Courts National Fine Center, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program. If the National Fine Center is not operating in this district, all criminal monetary penalty payments are to be made

DEFENDANT: Anthony Byrd CASE NUMBER: 1:96CR00005-001  STATEMENT OF REASONS  The court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report.	Judgment-Page 6 of 6
to the first section of the contract of the co	
to the first section of the contract of the co	
I he court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report.	
OR	
The court adopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report e necessary):	xcept (see attachment, if
Guideline Range Determined by the Court:  Total Offense Level: 30	
Criminal History Category:IV	
Imprisonment Range:135to168months	
Supervised Release Range:toto	
Fine Range: \$15,000.00 to \$4,000,000.00	
Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.	
Total Amount of Restitution: \$	
Restitution is not ordered because the complication and prolongation of the sente the fashioning of a restitution order outweighs the need to provide restitution to a U.S.C. § 3663(d).	
For offenses that require the total amount of loss to be stated, pursuant to Chapte 113A of Title 18, restitution is not ordered because the economic circumstances for the payment of any amount of a restitution order, and do not allow for the pay a restitution order in the foreseeable future under any reasonable schedule of payment.	of the defendant do not allow ment of any or some portion o
Partial restitution is ordered for the following reason(s):	
en granden er det en	
The sentence is within the guideline range, that range does not exceed 24 months, a to depart from the sentence called for by the application of the guidelines.	
[ - 1 4.] [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [	ing na transpole dan bead an ing sea isa. Tanggaran
The sentence is within the guideline range, that range exceeds 24 months, and the s following reason(s):	sentence is imposed for the
Based on the enormity of the guideline range for imprisonment in this case, the minim adequately serve to punish the defendant.	um of that range will
OR OR	and the second of the second o
The sentence departs from the guideline range:	
upon motion of the government, as a result of defendant's substantial assistant	ce.
for the following specific reason(s):	

EXHIBIT B

and the same of th

03-11-1996 11:17

302 573 6220

USA DE

P.02/04

AUSA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Crim. Action No. 96-05-SLR

ANTHONY BYRD and ANDRE STEWART,

Defendants.

# SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Federal Grand Jury for the District of Delaware charges that:

## Count I

On or about January 15, 1996, in the District of Delaware, Anthony Byrd and Andre Stewart, defendants herein, did knowingly possess with the intent to distribute substances containing a detectable amount of cocaine and cocaine base, a/k/a "crack," schedule II narcotic controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C \$841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A).

# Count II

On or about January 15, 1996, in the District of Delaware, Anthony Byrd and Andre Stewart, defendants herein, together with another person, now deceased, who is known to the grand jury, did knowingly conspire to distribute cocaine and cocaine base, a/k/a "crack," schedule II narcotic controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C \$841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A), all in violation of 21 U.S.C. \$846.

03-11-1996 11:18

302 573 6220

USA DE

P.03/04

#### Count\_III

On or about January 15, 1996, in the District of Delaware, Anthony Byrd, defendant herein, did knowingly possess in and affecting interstate commerce, firearms, that is, a Cobray 9mm MAC-11 semi-automatic handgun, serial no. 890063750, and two Ruger 9mm Model P-94DC handguns, serial nos. 30803765 and 30806978, after having been convicted on or about September 19, 1995, in Vance County, North Carolina, of Possession of Stolen Goods, a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, in a case captioned State v. Byrd, 95CRS-4599, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(g)(1) & 2.

#### Count IV

On or about January 15, 1996, in the District of Delaware, Andre Stewart, defendant herein, did knowingly possess in and affecting interstate commerce, firearms, that is, a Cobray 9mm MAC-11 semi-automatic handgun, serial no. 890063750, and two Ruger 9mm Model P-94DC handguns, serial nos. 30803765 and 30806978, after having been convicted on or about July 23, 1992, in the Supreme Court of Queens County, New York, of Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance 5th, a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, in a case captioned State v. Stewart, No. N10293-92, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(g)(1) & 2.

#### Count Y

On or about January 15, 1996, in the State and District of Delaware, Anthony Byrd and Andre Stewart, defendants herein, during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime, to wit, conspiracy to

03-11-1996 11:18

302 573 6220

USA DE

P.04/04

United States Code, Section 846, as alleged in Count Two of the Indictment, incorporated by reference herein, did knowingly carry firearms, to wit, a Cobray 9mm MAC-11 semi-automatic handgun, serial no. 890063750, and two Ruger 9mm Model P-94DC handguns, serial nos. 30803765 and 30806978, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 924(c)(1) & 2.

A TRUE BILL:

Foreman

GREGORY M. SLEET United States Attorney

Richard G. Andrews

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: 2/37/96

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Plaintiff,	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
	Crim. Action No. 96-05-SLR
ANTHONY BYRD,	
Defendant. )	

#### MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to discussions between the United States of America, by and through its attorney, Richard G. Andrews, Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Delaware, and on behalf of and with the consent and knowledge of Gregory M. Sleet, United States Attorney for the District of Delaware, and Penny Marshall, Esquire, and Christopher O'Malley, Esquire, attorneys for Defendant Anthony Byrd, the following agreement is hereby entered into by the respective parties:

1. The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Counts I published Supersedicts of the Indictment. Count I charges a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A), which carries a maximum penalty of life imprisonment, with ten years being minimum-mandatory, a fine of \$4,000,000, or both, a term of supervised release of at least five years, and a special assessment of \$50.00.

Gount III charges a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g), which carries a maximum penalty of a fine of \$250,000, or imprisonment for not more than ten years, or both, a term of supervised release of up to three years, and a special assessment of \$50.

- 2. The Government agrees to move to dismiss Counts II and V as they pertain to the defendant at the time of sentencing. The defendant agrees to pay the \$100 Special Assessments on the day of sentencing. Should he fail to do so, the defendant agrees to voluntarily enter the United States Bureau of Prisons' administered program known as the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program through which the Bureau of Prisons will collect a portion of defendant's prison salary and apply it on the defendant's behalf to the payment of the outstanding debt ordered.
- 3. In view of the defendant's timely acceptance of two responsibility, the Government will not object to a time level reduction in the Sentencing Guidelines offense level. The defendant understands that all sentencing guideline calculations are up to the sentencing judge, and the sentencing judge is not bound by any recommendation or position of the parties.
- 4. It is further agreed by the parties that this Memorandum supersedes all prior promises, representations, and statements of the parties; that this Memorandum may be modified only in writing signed by all the parties; and, that any and all promises,

representations and statements made prior to or after this Memorandum are null and void and have no effect whatsoever.

GREGORY M. SLEET
United States Attorney

Penny Marshall, Esquire Attorney for Defendant

Richard GJ Andrews
Assistant United States Attorney

Christopher O'Malley, Esquire Attorney for Defendant

Anthony Byrg Defendant

Date: 8/8/96

AND NOW this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 1996, the foregoing Memorandum of Plea Agreement is hereby (accepted) (rejected) by this Court.

HONORABLE SUE L. ROBINSON United States District Court Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CRIMINAL ACTION 4 Plaintiff 5 v. 6 . 7 ANTHONY BYRD, NO. 96-5 (SLR) Defendant Wilmington, Delaware 10 Thursday, August 8, 1996 10:23 o'clock, a.m. 11 12 13 BEFORE: HONORABLE SUE L. ROBINSON, U.S.D.C.J. 14 15 APPEARANCES: RICHARD G. ANDREWS, ESQ., 16 Assistant United States Attorney 17 Counsel for Plaintiff PENNY MARSHALL, ESQ. and CHRISTOPHER O'MALLEY, ESQ. 19 Assistant Federal Public Defenders 20 Counsel for Defendant 21 22 23 24 Valerie J. Gunning Official Court Reporter

25

And, Mr. Byrd, before I would accept a plea of guilty, if you enter a plea today, I need to make sure that you are doing so knowing the consequences of it and that you are doing so voluntarily.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I need to ask you a series of questions. And to that end, I'll have Miss Friedkin administer an oath.

And you need to answer these questions under oath. And I tell you now that if you answer any of the questions falsely, your answers may later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or for making a false statement.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Miss Friedkin?

... ANTHONY BYRD, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows ...

THE COURT: All right. Would you please just state your full name for the record?

THE DEFENDANT: Anthony T. Byrd.

THE COURT: And how old are you today?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm 20.

today?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to review the indictment that contains the charges against you with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And are you fully satisfied with the counsel, representation and advice given to you in this case by your attorneys, Ms. Marshall and Mr. O'Malley?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now, it's my understanding, and I'll go over this briefly -- I want to go over the plea agreement to make sure that it's on the record and we all are agreeing to the same thing.

You have agreed to plead guilty to Count I of the superseding indictment, which charges a violation of Title 21 of the United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A).

It carries a maximum penalty of life imprisonment, with ten years being minimum mandatory, a fine of \$4 million or both, a term of supervised release of at least five years, and a special assessment of \$50.

The Government agrees in turn to move to dismiss Counts 2, 3 and 5 as they pertain to you at the time of sentencing.

You've agreed to pay the \$50 special assessment

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

4

5

6

7

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. You'll just have to speak up so our Court Reporter can hear you as well.

Do you understand that the offense to which you might be pleading guilty today is a felony offense, and that if your plea is accepted, you will be adjudged guilty of that offense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that having been adjudged guilty, it might deprive you of some valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of a firearm.

Do you understand those consequences?

Yes.

THE COURT: I've indicated what the maximum possible penalty that could be imposed is.

Have you had the opportunity to discuss the sentencing guidelines with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: And as noted in the plea agreement, you understand that at this point I don't know what sentencing guidelines might apply, I have to wait until a presentence investigation is done. And you will have the

mandatory term involved in this case?

1

2

3

5

6

7

- 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ANDREWS: Your Honor, 10 years.

THE COURT: Ten years.

Do you understand that the Court does not have the authority to impose a sentence less than a minimum mandatory term of imprisonment unless the Government moves on the basis of your cooperation to have that done?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In that event, you would have the right to a trial by jury, to be represented by lawyers, to question all of the Government's witnesses, to review their evidence, and to call witnesses on your own and to take the stand if you chose to do so?

Do you understand those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You understand that if you enter a plea of guilty, you're waiving that right to a trial by jury?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if we went to trial, the Government would have to prove to a jury the

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andrews, would you please describe what facts the Government would be prepared to prove were this case to go to trial.

MR. ANDREWS: Your Honor, on January 15th, 1996, the Delaware State Police stopped a Pontiac Bonneville, which Mr. Byrd was driving. When they asked him for his license, identification, he gave them the license of Stanley Byrd, his uncle.

When the police pointed out that the picture on Stanley Byrd was not him, he gave them a second name, which was something like Courtney, or Derrick Courtney. remember exactly, but it was not Anthony Byrd.

After Mr. Byrd consented, this Mr. Byrd consented to a search of the car, one of the troopers found a substantial quantity of what appeared to be drugs underneath the front seat of the car, the seat that he would have been sitting in when he drove.

At the time the drugs were found, all three people had been in the car, including Mr. Byrd, who was at this time in the back seat of the State Police vehicle and had to climb into the front seat.

All three ran. Mr. Byrd was found shortly thereafter. By the time he was found, he had taken the coat that he was wearing -- it was a reversible jacket -and changed it so that it wasn't the same color as when

he ran.

The drugs were eventually sent to a chemist employed by DEA for analysis and contained 69 grams of cocaine base, 924 grams of powder cocaine.

The drugs were in one or more zip-lock bags, and one of the zip-lock bags was sent to the DEA fingerprint examiner, who found Mr. Byrd's, the defendant's, right thumbprint on the bag, or on the zip-lock bag.

During the search at Troop 2 of the car on January 15th, there was found to be \$14,000 cash in the trunk in a container.

And according to the DEA, the value of the drugs involved here on a wholesale level would be about somewhere in the range of 16,500 to 25,000 for the powder cocaine and about 2,000 to 2,500 for the cocaine base, which would also be called crack on the street.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Byrd, I need to ask you whether there's anything that the Government stated -- whether you strongly disagree with anything of the Government's representations as to the facts.

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: All right. And how do you plead to the charge? Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

I take it there's no change in bail status. Is there anything else we need to address at this time, Mr. Andrews? MR. ANDREWS: No, your Honor, I don't believe so. THE COURT: Mr. O'Malley? MR. O'MALLEY: Nothing further. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, counsel. MR. O'MALLEY: Thank you, your Honor. (Court recessed at 10:40 a.m.) 

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	The state of the s	
Plaintiff, v. ANTHONY BYRD,	) ) Criminal Action No )	. 96~05-SLR
Defendant.	) )	w.

#### ORDER

At Wilmington this property day of December, 1997, having reviewed the various permutations of defendant's request to withdraw his guilty plea, as well as the government's responses thereto;

IT IS ORDERED that defendant's motions to withdraw his guilty plea (D.I. 81, 95) are denied, for the reasons that follow:

1. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(e) reads in relevant part as follows:

If a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty . . is made before sentence is imposed, the court may permit the plea to be withdrawn if the defendant shows any fair and just reason.

P. 17

The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has evaluated such motions in terms of three factors:

"(1) whether the defendant asserts his innocence; (2) whether the government would be prejudiced by withdrawal; and (3) the strength of the defendant's reasons for moving to withdraw." [United States v.]

Trott, 779 F.2d [912,] 915 [(3d Cir. 1985]. .

United States v. Martinez, 785 F.2d 111, 114 (3d Cir. 1986).

With respect to the first factor, the Third Circuit has explained that, while the court does

not take lightly the right which every defendant possesses to have the protective cloak of innocence removed by trial [, n]one-theless, the situation is different when the cloak has been shed voluntarily and knowingly before the court. The defendant must then not only reassert innocence, but give sufficient reasons to explain why contradictory positions were taken before the district court and why permission should be given to withdraw the guilty plea and reclaim the right to trial. . . .

United States v. Jones, 979 F.2d 317, 318 (3d Cir. 1992). Accord
United States v. Harris, 44 F.3d 1206, 1210 (3d Cir.) cert.
denied, 115 S.Ct. 1806 (1995).

2. Defendant has asserted at various times that: 1) he is altogether innocent of the charge of "possession with intent

P: 18

to distribute drugs (cocaine powder and cocaine base [alleged to be crack]) that were found in a car which did not belong[] to (D.I. 59 at 4); 2) he relied to his detriment on the positive results of a fingerprint analysis performed by the government, which analysis was based on a fingerprint card identifying defendant with the wrong FBI number (D.I. 84, 85); and 3) his intent at the time of the plea "was to admit to possession of cocaine with intent to distribute and not cocaine base (crack)." (D.I.95)

- The court denied defendant's first motion to withdraw, based on the conclusory nature of his claim of (D.I. 63)innocence.
- In response to defendant's claim of error in the fingerprint analysis, the government has since offered the positive results of a second analysis using an accurate FBI number (i.e., the original print was in fact that of defendant, only the FBI number was inaccurately posted on the card). 83) Even more significant is the government's contention (undisputed of record) that defendant's attorneys at the time of the plea (the Federal Defender)

hired their own fingerprint expert to review the Government's fingerprint evidence. expert consulted with the Government's

fingerprint examiner, George Jascewsky, before the guilty plea, and came to the same conclusion as the Government's examiner, namely, that it was Anthony Byrd's right thumb print that was on the bag of drugs.

#### (D.I. 82 at 2)

- 5. Defendant's latest assertion of "innocence" is that, in entering a plea of guilty to count 1 of the indictment, charging possession with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), he meant to enter a guilty plea only with respect to possession with intent to distribute cocaine powder, not with respect to cocaine base.
- 6. The Third Circuit has held that 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)¹ does not require that any particular controlled substance be specified in the indictment, "since . . . the identity of the substance is a sentencing factor [under § 841(b)] rather than an element of the offense." United States v. Lewis, 113 F.2d 487, 493 (3d Cir. 1997).
- 7. Under the circumstances at bar, where defendant has admitted (at the plea colloquy, reiterated in his latest papers)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 841(a)(1) provides that "it shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally . . . to . . . possess with intent to . . . distribute . . . a controlled substance . . . "

P. 20

that he was aware of the drugs in the vehicle and of the drugs' intended distribution, the court concludes that defendant's assertions of innocence are neither factually nor legally credible.

THEREFORE, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant shall be sentenced on count 1 of the indictment on Thursday, January 15, 1998, at 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 6B, Sixth Floor Federal Building, 844 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware.

United States District Judge

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTHONY BYRD, Petitioner,

-vs.

Case No. 96-5- SLR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX. REL., JONATHAN C. MINER, WARDEN, ALLENWOOD FEDERAL PRISON CAMP, MONTGOMERY, PENNSYLVANIA

Respondent.

# PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAILING

ANTHONY BYRD avers under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that a copy of the within PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY was served upon the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Pennsylvania by first class mail-postage prepaid this 21 day of May, 2001.

Anthony Byrd

#### Addressee:

U.S. Attorney M.D. of Pennsylvania P.O. Box 548 240 West Third Street Williamsport, PA 17703-0548